

NO. 20150

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

N JOSEPH SICA,
O Appellant,

vs.

2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
0 Appellee.
1
5

Q Appeal from the United States District Court,
For the Southern District of California,
Central Division.

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT JOSEPH SICA

FILED

JAN 11 1966

WILLIAM E. WILSON, Clerk

RUSSELL E. PARSONS
448 South Hill Street
Los Angeles, Calif. 90013
MAdison 6-9167
Attorney for Appellant
Joseph Sica

TOPICAL INDEX

	Page
Preliminary Statement.....	2
Argument.....	4
I	
The government contends that the issue raised by the 2255 motions is so baseless as to approach the frivolous because we did not comply with Title 28 U.S. Code section 144.....	4
Ancillary to all of the foregoing is the fact that we were denied cross-examination of Judge Boldt, which came about in the following fashion.....	10
Ancillary to all of this is the fact that Judge Boldt elected to change the record in this case, concerning which we are making a portion of our argument here, on his own volition and without notice to any of counsel.....	12
Conclusion.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Case

Chessman v. Teets, 354 U.S. 156.....	13
--------------------------------------	----

Statutes

28 United States Code sec. 144.....	4, 5
28 United States Code sec. 2255.....	4, 6

Rule

Rule 25, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.....	4
---	---

